

TRIAL RESULTS

VIRGINIA, US (2016)

HIGHLIGHTS

56-76% INCREASED LINT YIELD WITH POLY4 USE

47%-97% IMPROVED ECONOMIC RETURNS

ROBUST ECONOMIC CASE

poly4.com

TRIAL OBJECTIVE

To compare the performance of POLY4 to common fertilizer plans containing MOP.

TREATMENT TABLE²

TREATMENTS	AVERAGE NUTRIENTS APPLIED (kg ha ⁻¹)						
	N	K ₂ O	CaO	MgO	S	Cl-	
CONTROL	112	0	0	0	0	0	
MOP	112	100	0	0	0	80	
POLY4	112	100	120	43	137	21	
MOP+POLY4 (50:50)	112	100	60	21	68	51	
MOP BALANCED	112	100	51	18	52	80	

OVERVIEW

PARTNER:	VIRGINIA TECH UNIVERSITY
LOCATION:	VIRGINIA, US
YEAR:	2016
CROP VARIETY:	PHYTOGEN 333

- The US is the third largest cotton producer in the world after China and India.¹
- Cotton is produced in 17 southern US states from Virginia to California.¹
- A major component of profitable cotton production is an adequate and balanced nutrition.²
- Potassium is essential nutrient for cotton fibre development.³
- Each treatment was replicated four times in a randomised complete block design.
- Soil types ranged from sands to sandy loams with limited ability to fix potassium (K).





YIELD COMPARISON[®]

- Only supplying nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium limits cotton lint yield.
- Magnesium and sulphur uptake by the cotton plant is similar in quantities to phosphorus.
- Availability of calcium is essential for plant uptake in order to form strong plant cells.
- POLY4 options deliver these nutrients more effectively than gypsum and kieserite.



FERTILIZER NET RETURN[®]

- Using POLY4 as the K source for cotton increased net return by US\$121.
- Using POLY4 with MOP in a 50:50 ratio increased net return by US\$239.
- Higher application rates of POLY4 were associated with the higher yield and the increase in net return.
- Supplying potassium from MOP and POLY4 gave the largest economic return.



ECONOMIC ANALYSIS^{7,8}

- POLY4 offers the best marginal rate of return with an extra US\$1.7 gained for every US\$1 spent on the fertilizer plan.
- A higher value-cost ratio with POLY4 options demonstrates that farmers gain more economic value than expend on fertilizers.



Note: 1) USDA (US Department of Agriculture, 2017); 2) IPNI (International Plant Nutrition Institute, 2000); 3) First cotton trial (2015) in Virginia, US; 4) Treatment table is based on the recommended K₂O rate. MOP balanced contains MOP+kieserite+gypsum; 5) Results presented are based on data from GENSTAT regression analysis. All treatments received 112 kg N ha⁻¹; 100 kg K2O ha⁻¹ from MOP and/or POLY4 and 1.12 kg B ha⁻¹. MOP+POLY4 was used in a ratio of 50:50 K₂O split. Initial soil analysis: pH 5.9; P 23 mg kg⁻¹, K 18 mg kg⁻¹, Mg 40 mg kg⁻¹; 6) Fertilizer prices based on US South 2016 annual prices: MOP (US\$260/t), POLY4 (US\$200/t), kieserite (US\$250/t), gypsum (US\$25/t). Analysis accounts for fertilizer application of spreading cost of US\$16.16/t, cotton was equivalent of 2016 price (62 cent/lb) US\$1.37/kg; 7) Net return = crop output (US\$/ha) – (cost of fertilizer material + cost of fertilizer application); 8) VCR = ratio of crop yield to fertilizer rate divided by ratio of fertilizer price to crop price.

Sources: Virginia Tech (2016) 23000-VIR-23014-16

siriusminerals.com | +44 1723 470 010 | commercial@siriusminerals.com

Registered Address: 3rd Floor Greener House, 66–68 Haymarket, London SW1Y 4RF, UK Company Registered Number: 4948435

